3727

PTO/SB/21 (08-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

ter the Eapproved Research Research of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Application Number	09/937,475 11/15/2001		
Filing Date			
First Named Inventor	W. Camps		
Group Art Unit	3727		
Examiner Name	Joseph Man Moy		
Attorney Docket Number	WSP:201 US		

Total Number of Pages in This Submission 3 Attorney Docket Number VVC1 :201 CC						
<u> </u>		ENCL	OSURES (check	all that apply)		
Fee Transmittal For		Assignment Papers (for an Application) Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to Group Appeal Communication to Board		
Amendment / Reply After Final Affidavits/de Extension of Time F	/ eclaration(s)	Licensing Petition Petition to Provision Power of	g-related Papers o Convert to a nal Application Attorney, Revocation of Correspondence	of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):		
Express Abandonm Information Disclose	•	Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)		RECEIV		
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		Remarks OCT 0 8 2003 TECHNOLOGY CENTER HOMAN				
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT						
Firm or Individual name	Michael L. Dunn, Dunn & Associates					
Signature	October 1, 2003					
Date	October 1, 2003					
OFFICIATE OF MAILING						

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231 on this date:

Typed or printed name

Michael L. Dunn

Signature

Date

10/01/2003



PATENT WSP201:US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:

W. Camps

Art Unit:

3727

Confirm #9458

业10

Serial No:

Examiner:

09/937,475

Filed:

November 15, 2001

I certify that this REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION is being

Joseph Man Moy

deposited on October _____, 2003, with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail addressed to The Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box

1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

For:

DEVICE FOR STORING

AND TRANSPORTING

UNIT LOADS

Registration No. 25,330

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 RECEIVE

OCT 0 8 2003

Sir:

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

This is in reply to the Official Action of July 30, 2003 rejecting Claims 19-26, 28, and 30-38.

It is noted that the Examiner has withdrawn Claims 27 and 29 as being drawn to a nonelected species. The Examiner is reminded that these claims are to be reinstated upon allowance of a generic claim. It should be further pointed out that the election was made "with traverse", not without traverse as indicated by the Examiner in the current official action. The Applicants retain the right to petition the restriction.

Claims 19-26, 28, and 30-38 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 as being anticipated by German patent publication 195 49 166. This rejection is improper and should be withdrawn. The Examiner states that "the reference show [sic shows] all structure of the device as recited by the claims." This statement is incorrect since in fact the cited reference does not show or even

suggest the claimed structure.

The Examiner states that "element 41 of figure 5" of the cited reference could be

considered as equivalent to "the claimed attachment". That is simply not the case. Elements 41

are not any kind of attachment at all but are rather "padding strips" to protect against sharp

edged sections of objects. The confusion perhaps lies in the fact that the cited reference is in the

German language; however, the Examiner may be referred to U.S. Patent 6,164,440 which is the

United States counterpart for DE 195 49 166. Column 13, lines 9-35 clearly identify items 41 as

padding strips not as attachment structures. Those 'padding strips" in no way stabilize the lower

ends of the hanging pockets during loading or otherwise, as required by the present claims.

Further no other attachment structure, as required by the present claims, is disclosed or

suggested by the cited reference that stabilizes the lower ends of the hanging pockets.

It is therefore clear that the cited reference does not disclose or suggest the presently

claimed invention. It is therefore courteously requested that the rejection be withdrawn.

In view of the foregoing, it is asserted that all objections and rejections have been

overcome and all claims are in condition for allowance, which action is courteously requested.

Dated: October / , 2003

Respectfully submitted,

Michael L. Dunn

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 25,330

P.O.Box 10

Newfane, New York 14108

Telephone: (716) 433-1661

MLD/csc

2